**Art Critique Rubric**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **scoring****sections** | **Excellent****100%** | **Good****85%** | **Average****75%** | **Fair****65%** | **Poor****50%>** |
| **Describe****(20pts)** | Full, complete, accurate description. Includes many details, colors and describes whole work using art specific language. Only describes things that can be seen in the work. | Describes most of the image accurately. Uses many art specific terms. Most details, colors, objects included. | Describes the image somewhat accurately. Uses some art specific terms. Some details, colors, objects included. Use of vague terms. | Many details, colors, objects left out of descriptions. Includes speculation on things that cannot be seen. Unacceptably brief. | Little to no description included. |
| **Interpret****(10pts)** | Looks at work at an interesting and accurate way. Gives great insight into the work. Looks beyond image and puts artwork in context. | Provides good insight into artwork. Gives an interesting or accurate interpretation. | Some insight given into work. Superficial understanding of the work. | Simply repeats what was said in class discussion. Vague ideas given. No insight into work.  | No interpretation given, or interpretation does not relate to assignment in a reasonable way. |
| **Support** **(20pts)** | Excellent use of elements in the image to support interpretation. Multiple clear connections are described.  | Good use of elements in the image to support interpretation. Some clear connections are described.  | Some use of elements in the image to support interpretation. Connections described are not clear.  | Support does not relate to interpretation or merely restates description.Unacceptably brief. | Little to no support included.  |

**Be decisive. Avoid these words/phrases: maybe, might be, could be, this or that**

**Be specific. Avoid these words: something, it, thing, and stuff, random**